

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,666	01/17/2002	Kun-Tsan Wu	*	5430
25859 75	90 07/25/2003			- 4.4
WEI TE CHUNG FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE			EXAM	MINER
			VALENCIA	, DANIEL E
SANTA CLÁRA, CA 9505	A, CA 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,666	WU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel E Valencia	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	٠
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days; a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u> </u>		
,	is action is non-final.	•	•
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are withdraw		w	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		*	
	•		
	ologian requirement		,
 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 	election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are:	<u></u>	by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		*	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	* .	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	-		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, priority arraer to 0.9.01 & 1.10	(-) (-) (-)	
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		tion No	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application)	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •		
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other: .		

Application/Control Number: 10/052,666

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-10) in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Claims 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 10/052,666

Art Unit: 2874

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 10/052,281 (referred to as '281). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present invention are broader than '281, but claims essentially the same subject matter. For example, claim 1 of the present invention does not include the clamp or the shape of the opening as being elliptical as in claim 1 of '281. Additionally, claim 1 of the present invention recites "a gasket defining at least one opening for admitting an entrance of the at least one input fiber and the at least one output fiber"; whereas, claim 1 of '281 recites "between at least one input fiber and at least one output fiber... holding the fibers, the at least one fiber clamp being inserted into the at least one elliptical opening." Claim 10 of '281 also recites " a gasket defining at least one elliptic opening for admitting an entrance of fibers". Allowance of claims 1-10 of the present timewise invention would thus improperly extend the "right to exclude" granted by the patenting of claims 1-19 in '281.



Application/Control Number: 10/052,666

Art Unit: 2874

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cohen U.S. Patent No. 6,502,999, as the closest prior art, discloses an optical transceiver module for hermetically sealing the optical device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel E Valencia whose telephone number is (703)-305-4399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7724 for regular communications and (703)-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

DEV

July 16, 2003

John D. Lee